Appl. No. 10/718,954 Amdt. dated October 24, 2006

Reply to Office Action of August 1, 2006

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the

application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A wireless device that is adapted to communicate wirelessly

with a class 1 device and a class 2 device, wherein the class 2 device is capable of

communicating in a manner that is not compatible with the class 1 device, the wireless

device comprising:

host logic;

an antenna; and

a medium access control (MAC) coupled the host logic and the antenna;

wherein the MAC causes the wireless device to emit a poll that is recognized

differently by the class 1 device as a single-device poll and compared to by

the class 2 device as a multi-device poll, and wherein the poll causes the

wireless device to operate for a reserved period of time in which the class 2

device can communicate in a manner that is not compatible with the class 1

device.

2. (Original) The wireless device of claim 1 wherein, during the reserved period of

time, the class 2 device uses a preamble that does not comport with preambles

associated with the class 1 device.

Page 2 of 11

Amdt. dated October 24, 2006

Reply to Office Action of August 1, 2006

3. (Original) The wireless device of claim 1 wherein, following the reserved period of

time, the MAC of the wireless device permits the class 1 device to communicate

4. (Original) The wireless device of claim 1 wherein, following the reserved period of

time, the MAC of the wireless device permits the class 1 and class 2 devices to

communicate in a manner that is compatible with the class 1 devices.

5. (Original) The wireless device of claim 1 wherein the class 1 device and the class

2 device each includes a unique address, and the poll includes a predetermined address

that does not correspond to either of the addresses of the class 1 and class 2 devices and

is interpreted by the class 1 device for the class 1 device to avoid initiating

communications during the reserved period of time and is interpreted by the class 2

device as identifying the reserved period of time.

6. (Original) The wireless device of claim 1 wherein the wireless device comprises

an access point.

(Currently amended) A wireless network, comprising:

an access point;

a plurality of class 1 devices; and

Page 3 of 11

Amdt. dated October 24, 2006

Reply to Office Action of August 1, 2006

a plurality of class 2 devices, wherein the class 2 devices are adapted to

communicate in a manner that is compatible with the class 1 devices and

also in a manner that is not compatible with the class 1 devices;

wherein the access point emits a multi-device class poll that causes the class 1

devices to remain off the network and permits the class 2 devices to

communicate for a period of time in a manner that is not compatible with the

class 1 devices;

wherein at least one of the class 1 devices recognizes the multi-device class poll

as a single-device poll.

8 (Original) The wireless network of claim 7 wherein the period of time is determined

from the multi-device class poll.

9. (Original) The wireless network of claim 7 wherein, following the period of time,

the access point permits the class 1 devices to communicate on the network.

10. (Original) The wireless network of claim 7 wherein, following the period of time,

the access point permits both class 1 and class 2 devices to communicate on the

network.

Page 4 of 11

Amdt. dated October 24, 2006

Reply to Office Action of August 1, 2006

11. (Original) The wireless network of claim 10 wherein, during the period of time, the

class 2 devices communicate on the network using preambles that cannot be interpreted

correctly by the class 1 devices, and wherein, following the period of time, the access

point permits both class 1 and class 2 devices to communicate on the network using

preambles that the class 1 devices can interpret.

12. (Original) The wireless network of claim 7 wherein each class 1 device comprises

a unique address and the multi-device class poll includes a predetermined address that

does not correspond to an address of any of the class 1 devices.

13. (Original) The wireless network of claim 12 wherein the predetermined address is

interpreted by each class 2 device as signifying a beginning of the period of time.

(Original) The wireless network of claim 7 wherein, during the period of time, the

class 2 devices use a preamble that does not comport with preambles associated with the

class 1 devices.

15. (Currently amended) A method, comprising:

during a specified time period, precluding a first plurality of devices from

communicating on a wireless network;

Page 5 of 11

Amdt. dated October 24, 2006

Reply to Office Action of August 1, 2006

during the specified time period, permitting a second plurality of devices to

communicate on the wireless network via contention-based access while

the first plurality of devices is precluded from communicating on the

wireless network, wherein during the specified time period, the second

plurality of devices is permitted to communicate in a manner that is

incompatible with the first plurality of devices;

wherein precluding and permitting comprise emitting a poll that is recognized by

the first plurality of devices as a single-device poll and by the second

plurality of devices as a multi-device poll.

16. (Currently amended) The method of claim 15 further comprising wherein emitting

said poll comprises emitting a poll that contains an address that does not correspond to

any of the first plurality of devices.

17. (Currently amended) The method of claim 15 further comprising wherein emitting

said poll comprises emitting a poll that contains an address that does not correspond to

any of the first plurality of devices and that is interpreted by the second plurality of devices

as defining the specified time period during which the second plurality of devices is

permitted to communicate in a manner that is incompatible with the first plurality of

devices.

Page 6 of 11

184633 01/1962-05900 (TI-35948)

Amdt. dated October 24, 2006

Reply to Office Action of August 1, 2006

18. (Original) The method of claim 15 further comprising, following the specified time

period, permitting the first plurality of devices to communicate on the wireless network.

19. (Original) The method of claim 15 further comprising, following the specified time

period, permitting the first plurality and second plurality of devices to communicate on

the wireless network in a manner that is compatible with the first plurality of devices.